

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.weylo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/568,766	08/10/2006	Tomoyuki Obara	286407US3PCT	6659	
OBLON SPIX	7590 05/01/200 /AK, MCCLELLAND	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE STREET			GUTMAN, HILARY L		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3612		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/01/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/568,766	OBARA, TOMOYUKI	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Hilary Gutman	3612	

-	LAUIIIIICI	7.11 01.111				
	Hilary Gutman	3612				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -						
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 (in Children) (in Children) (in Children) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the size or extended period for reply will by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing aemed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status						
1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_ action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is			
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 6-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) Claim(s) 6-13 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 Cl				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				

- Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

 - Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/16/06.

- Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _ 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/568,766 Page 2

Art Unit: 3612

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

 Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2) The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3) Claims 6-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4) Claim 6, line 4, recites a "reinforce member" which fails to conform with current U.S. practice or grammar. The term "reinforce" should perhaps be "reinforcing" or "reinforcement" throughout.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5) The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6) Claims 6-9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ito et al.

Ito et al. (6877787) disclose the claimed invention including an automobile interior part disposed on a front side of an automobile, comprising: a duet; and a reinforcing member, wherein the duet and the reinforcing member are integrally attached. An instrument panel fascia 20 is disclosed and is integrally attached with the duet and reinforcing member. The interior part is formed by a fiber-reinforced resin.

It should be noted that the patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process (MPEP 2113).

Allowable Subject Matter

7) Claims 10-13 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Matsuzaki et al. (7303714) disclose the claimed invention including an automobile interior part disposed on a front side of an automobile, comprising: a duct; and a reinforcing member, wherein the duct and the reinforcing member are integrally attached. The interior part is formed by a fiber-reinforced resin.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hilary Gutman whose telephone number is 571-272-6662.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Dayoan can be reached on 571-272-6659. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Hilary Gutman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3612